



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ο.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,532	·	12/10/2001	George J. Picha	29462	7870
116	7590	10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
PEARNI	E & GORI	OON LLP	THANH, LOAN H		
1801 EAS	ST 9TH STI	REET			
SUITE 12	200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVEL	AND, OH	44114-3108	3763		
CLEVEL	AND, UH	44114-3108		3/63	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/016,532	PICHA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LoAn H. Thanh	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover st	leet with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply willing the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 l	<u>December 2001</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	l.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	election requiremen	t.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception		to by the Everniner	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		`	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		·	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been receive	∍d.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed in Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ireau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (PT her:	

Art Unit: 3763

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17, drawn to a percutaneous gastrostomy device, classified in class 604, subclass 174.
- II. Claim 18, drawn to a method of assembling, classified in class 29, subclass 428.
- III. Claims 19-20, drawn to a method of installing, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I or III) and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have different functions and different modes of operation.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product can be practiced with another materially different product such as a balloon catheter.

Art Unit: 3763

Page 3

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon election of the device or the method of installing applicant is requested to elect a species since this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I/ figs. 1-4b

II/ fig. 8

III/ fig. 9

IV/ figs. 10,11,12

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3763

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Jeffrey Sopko on 10/29/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

**Art Unit: 3763** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to alternating Fridays (7:00 am-4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3763

Page 5

LT